

Report of the Committee for Fungi: 4

Walter Gams¹

Summary

Gams, W.: Report of the Committee for Fungi: 4. – *Taxon* 43: 265-267. 1994. – ISSN 0040-0262.

The Committee for Fungi expresses its recommendations on 11 proposals to conserve or reject names, recommending 7.

The previous report of the Committee was published in *Taxon* 427: 112-118. 1993 (see also the Committee's activity report to the Nomenclature Section in Yokohama, in *Englera* 14: 249-250. 1994). In the present report, the Committee recommends seven of the proposals to conserve, and voted with at least eight votes against four. Other proposals which did not receive either a two-thirds majority for or eight votes against are still under discussion by the Committee, and will remain so until such a majority is achieved. Two proposals had to be modified considerably before a decision could be taken. The conclusions concerning the following eleven proposals have already been presented to and, when positive, have been approved by the General Committee at its meeting in Yokohama on 26 Aug 1993.

(849) Conserve *Phaeocollybia* R. Heim against *Simocybe* P. Karst. and *Quercella* Velen. (proposed by Kuyper & Bas in *Taxon* 35: 740-743. 1986). Votes: (a) Conservation of *Phaeocollybia* against *Quercella*, 12 : 1 : 1; (b) conservation of *Simocybe* with *S. centunculus* (Fr. : Fr.) P. Karst. as conserved type, 13 : 0 : 1 (recommended as modified).

The Committee agreed that conservation of *Phaeocollybia* against *Quercella* should be accepted. The Committee did initially disagree on whether *Phaeocollybia* should also be conserved against *Simocybe*. For the typification of *Simocybe* two alternatives were available: (1) typification with *S. lugubris* (Fr. : Fr.) P. Karst. or (2) typification with *S. centunculus*. Typification with *S. lugubris* was first proposed by Earle under the provisions of the *American code* and was accepted by Donk (1962). Typification with *S. centunculus* was first proposed by Singer & Smith (1946) and was accepted in the list of names in current use (Greuter & al., 1993). Even though the typification of *Simocybe* with *S. lugubris* was made under the provisions of the *American code*, it was not clear under which circumstances this typification could be superseded. Since Kuyper & Bas had suggested that the protologue of *Simocybe* contradicted the characters of *S. centunculus*, the status of both typifications remained problematic. Considering that the genus *Simocybe* (in the circumscription with *S. centunculus* as type) contains over 50 species and that replacement of this generic name by *Ramicola* Velen., which had only very seldom been used since its introduction, would necessitate 50 new combinations, the Committee supported a supplementary proposal that *S. centunculus* should be designated the conserved type of *Simocybe*. By these proposals nomenclatural changes can be completely avoided.

¹ Centraalbureau voor Schimmelcultures, P. O. Box 273, NL-3740 AG Baarn, The Netherlands.

Besides *Phaeocollybia*, to be conserved against *Quercella* as proposed, *Simocybe* is also to be conserved, as follows:

Simocybe P. Karst. in Bidrag Kännedom Finlands Natur Folk 32: xxii, 416. 1879.

Typus: *S. centunculus* (Fr. : Fr.) P. Karst. (*Agaricus centunculus* Fr. : Fr.) (typ. cons.).

(=) *Ramicola* Velen. in Mykologia 6: 76. 1929. – Typus: *R. olivacea* Velen.

(857) Conserve *Antennaria* Gaertn. (*Compositae*) against *Antennaria* Link (*Fungi*) (proposed by Rauschert in Taxon 35: 749-750. 1986; see also report in Taxon 41: 102. 1992). Votes: 11 : 3 : 0 (recommended).

The Committee had always taken the position that sanctioning was confined to names of fungi and hence could not threaten non-fungal names. However, the decisions taken by the Berlin Congress implied the reverse consequence. Even though the Committee would still prefer a solution that effectively restricts protection of sanctioning to fungal names, it does not object against the Committee for Spermatophyta's recommendation on this case, as the fungal name *Antennaria* is no longer in use.

(938) Conserve *Massaria* De Not. against *Spilobolus* Link (proposed by Hawksworth & David in Taxon 38: 495-496. 1989; see also report in Taxon 41: 108. 1992). Votes: 1 : 11 : 2 (not recommended).

Following suggestions from the General Committee this proposal has been reconsidered, but adding *Spilobolus* to the names against which *Amphisphaeria* Ces. & De Not. is rejected does not solve the problem as *S. xylostei* (Pers. : Fr.) Fuckel is currently accepted in *Amphisphaerella* (Sacc.) Kirschst. 1934, and not *Amphisphaeria* Ces. & De Not. 1863. Therefore a proposal might be needed to conserve *Amphisphaerella* against *Spilobolus*, while our previous recommendation to reject this proposal is confirmed.

(963) Conserve *Eutypella* (Nitschke) Sacc. against *Quaternaria* Tul. & C. Tul. and *Scoptria* Nitschke (proposed by Rappaz in Taxon 38: 665. 1989). Votes: (a) *Eutypella* against *Quaternaria*, 3 : 10 : 1 (not recommended); (b) *Eutypella* against *Scoptria*, 11 : 3 : 0 (recommended).

The Committee remains unconvinced that *Eutypella* and *Quaternaria* are congeneric (rather different anamorphs), but the former name is certainly threatened by *Scoptria*, against which it must be conserved. If upon further study the generic classification proposed by Rappaz should be confirmed, *Quaternaria* can easily be added to the rejected names.

(974) Conserve *Pyrenopsis* (Nyl.) Nyl. with a conserved type (proposed by Jørgensen & Henssen in Taxon 39: 346-348. 1990). Votes (to conserve *Pyrenopsis* Nyl.): 12 : 2 : 0 (recommended as modified).

The original proposal was found to be incorrect under the Berlin Code (Art. 14.8), as the conserved name had to be *Pyrenopsis* Nyl. 1858, with a conserved type. With that modification, the Committee recommended the proposal. Consequent to changes

effected at Tokyo, modification of the proposal is no longer mandatory, and the original proposal can stand.

(984) Replace all current entries under *Tricholomataceae* Heim ex Pouzar (proposed by Balletto in Taxon 39: 532-534. 1990). Votes: 0 : 9 : 5 (not recommended).

The Committee remains unconvinced by Balletto's arguments that Heim indirectly referred to Fayod when establishing the family and thus would have made a valid transfer. Therefore the entry in Appendix IIA need not be modified. The Committee noted, however, that the Committee's choice to treat family names like generic names, rather than like family names of *Spermatophyta* or *Bryophyta* is the reason why the entries of family names in the fungi (and some other groups) are much more cumbersome than those in the *Spermatophyta* and *Bryophyta*.

(985) Replace all current entries under *Cortinariaceae* Heim ex Pouzar (proposed by Balletto in Taxon 39: 533-534. 1990). Votes: 0 : 9 : 5 (not recommended).

Same comment as for Prop. (984).

(1006) Conserve *Cercospora* Fresen. against *Cercospora* Fuckel (*Fungi*) (proposed by Sutton & Pons in Taxon 40: 643. 1991). Votes: 0 : 11 : 3 (not recommended).

This proposal turned out to be superfluous. The correct citation is: *Cercospora* Fresen. in Fuckel, *Hedwigia* 1(15): 133. 1863; Fuckel, *Fungi Rhen. Exsicc.*, Fasc. II: No. 117, *Hostrichiae*. 1863. It is uncertain whether the description in *Hedwigia* or in *Fungi rhenani* has priority, but this is irrelevant: neither way is the name threatened.

(1012) Conserve *Ramularia* Unger against *Ramularia* Roussel (*Algae*) (proposed by Braun & Sutton in Taxon 40: 656. 1991). Votes: 14 : 0 : 0 (recommended).

The need for conservation is overwhelmingly clear.

(1016) Conserve *Penicillium chrysogenum* Thom against several earlier names in *Penicillium* (proposed by Kozakiewicz & al. in Taxon 41: 109. 1992). Votes: 14 : 0 : 0 (recommended).

This is clearly a case in which a name of an economically important species is concerned.

(1017) Conserve *Aspergillus niger* Tiegh. against two earlier names (proposed by Kozakiewicz et al. in Taxon 41: 110. 1992). Votes: 14 : 0 : 0 (recommended).

Same comment as for Prop. (1016).

Literature cited

- Donk, M. A. 1962. The generic names proposed for *Agaricaceae*. *Beih. Nova Hedwigia* 5: 1-320.
- Greuter, W., Brummitt, R. K., Farr, E., Kilian, N., Kirk, P. M. & Silva, P. C. (ed.), 1993. NCU-3. Names in current use for extant plant genera. *Regnum Veg.* 129.
- Singer, R. & Smith, A. H. 1946. Proposals concerning the nomenclature of the gill fungi including a list of proposed lectotypes and genera conservanda. *Mycologia* 38: 240-299.